

identifying data identifying one of the set of standardized textures" is neither taught nor suggested. However, despite this argument, the above rejection has been maintained.

In addressing this feature in the above rejection, the Examiner appears to be still relying on the control signal disclosed in column 6, line 24, of Kamen et al. However, in column 6, lines 22-27, Kamen et al. discloses that the Adapative Texture Mapping Controller 90 would determine the desired interpolation methods based upon the control signals received.

Based on the above disclosure, it is evident that the control signals of Kamen et al. do not meet the presently recited "identifying one of the set of standardized textures", as required required by the claims. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that this feature is distinguishable over Kamen et al.

The above-described deficiencies of Kamen et al. are also not addressed by Jenkins, Griffin et al. or Tremblay et al. since these references are being relied on for other features. Thus, the invention of claims 1-11 is not obvious over Kamen et al. in view of Jenkins alone or, in combination with either Griffin et al. or Tremblay et al. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the above

rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn so that the present application may proceed to issue.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any fee (except the issue fee) to Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Russell Gross, Reg. 40,007
Attorney
(914) 333-9631

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

It is hereby certified that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to:
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

On April 4, 2002
By Ema Chaze